



# OPEN RESEARCH AGENDA SETTING

CARTHAGE SMITH

With thanks to:  
Giulia Ajmone Marsan  
Dai Qian (OECD)



# General public a key actor in open science

---

- **Citizen science**
- **Open access**
- **Open data**
- **Crowd-sourcing**
- **Crowd-funding**
- **Public engagement**
- **Open research agenda setting**



# Rationale and methodology

---

- **Project Aim:** to analyse a number of selected cases of open research agenda setting, at different scales and from different sectors, and explore how such initiatives can be most effectively designed and implemented;
- Desk-top research on 7 selected cases
- 2hr interviews using structured questionnaire
- International workshop to present and discuss cases in Seoul
- OECD STI Policy report, Dec, 2017.

7 case studies



Project



- 3. RISTEX, Japan;
- 4. X-Project, Korea;
- 5. Dutch National Research Agency;
- 6. CIMULAC, a European initiative of the Danish Board of Technology Foundation;
- 7. The James Lind Alliance, United Kingdom.





## Areas explored

---

- Historical background and context
- Overall aims,
- Key actors and governance arrangements
- Project design – methodological approach and use of digital technologies
- Implementation challenges and lessons learned
- Follow up and assessment



# The Great New Zealand Science Project

---

Aim: to foster public engagement in research agenda setting for the 2013-22 science plan

- Led by Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment
- Focus on thematic areas: marine resources, biodiversity, natural hazards, fighting diseases, land and water, climate change, advance materials
- Media and outreach campaign to encourage public engagement.
- Web submissions from public and from STI sectors. All ideas triaged by ‘peak panel’ of scientific experts to identify national science challenges.



## Ideas for Change (Colombia)

---

Aim: to develop agreed solutions with the aid of scientific experts

- Focusing on vulnerable communities and engagement on local actors
- Developed by the Colombian science and innovation agency, Colciencias
- Initial broad priorities (water, biodiversity, energy) defined at Govt. level in discussion with research institutions and sponsors
- Communities then involved in formulating specific challenges, proposal selection and development of solutions (co-design and co-production)



## RISTEX (Japan)

---

**Aim:** to develop innovative solutions for social challenges by joint cooperation between researchers and the general public. (Established in 2001 following the World Conference on Science)

- RISTEX is a funding institution affiliated to the Japan Science and Technology Agency
- Uses text mining and on-line surveys as initial input to priority setting
- Interviews and workshops with experts and multiple stakeholders to define R&D focus areas.
- R&D projects must be trans-disciplinary and are actively managed by RISTEX.
- Implementation support programme to encourage use and adoption of outcomes



# X-Project (Korea)

---

**Aim:** to expand participation beyond the research community in suggesting and addressing research problems

- New mechanism to involve citizens in research agenda setting exercise using an online platform via which questions can be raised and ideas incubated
- Problems are initially proposed by the public and triaged by an expert steering committee
- Open calls for proposals to address these problems (~50) are then made and research teams selected

Guiding principles: all ideas should be respected, **all participants should be rewarded**, researchers should target problem-solving, **all content should be transparent and open**, simple design, scaling up.



# Dutch National Research Agenda (the Netherlands)

---

**Aim:** Dutch government started to develop a new national science strategy in 2014 and wanted real public input and ‘buy in’.

- One pillar was public consultation to develop research priorities (“ask a scientist a question”) – 12k questions submitted
- On/line tools and text mining software were used to cluster research questions – 248 clusters
- 3 Conferences, discussion groups and expert panels narrowed down to 140 questions.
- Questions then matched to relevant national research organisations and also mapped to H2020
- Digital tool to link original questioners with organisations and researchers

Guiding principles: science4science, science4society, science4competitiveness

Ref. De Graaf et al (2017)



## Main messages (i)

---

1. **Simple design and simple questions** to motivate, encourage participation and make sure everybody is on “equal footing”
2. **Methodology needs to match aims.** Consultation on proposed priorities is different to co-design
3. **Manage expectations on both sides.** Experts need to learn on how to interact with non-experts (and the limitations). The general public need to now that it takes time to fund research and obtain results.
4. **Transparency and trust.** Involve citizens at all stages by communicating in a clear, open and transparent way every decision taken.



## Main messages (ii)

---

5. **New ideas can definitely emerge.** E.g. CIMULAC -> experts suggest incremental modifications while citizens completely new ideas. GNZSP -> citizens want more social sciences/health services research rather than classical clinical research.
  
6. **Digital tools and personal interactions are both important** and need to be used in a complementary way.
  
5. **Evaluations** are at an initial stage and difficult to develop. More thinking is needed.



# Further information



OECD publishing

Please cite this paper as:

Dai, Q., E. Shin and C. Smith (2018), "Open and inclusive collaboration in science: A framework", *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers*, 2018/07, OECD Publishing, Paris.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/2dbff737-en>



**OECD Science, Technology and Industry  
Working Papers 2018/07**

**Open and inclusive  
collaboration in science**

**A FRAMEWORK**

Qian Dai, Eunjung Shin, Carthage Smith

 **OECD**  
BETTER POLICIES FOR BETTER LIVES